ANNEXURE-21

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 04

Name of the District: Jagatsinghpur

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO IN LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C. E. RULES, 1961 TO THE HOUSE OF PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

[In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidate and the Shadow Observation Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should be sent along with this report]

SL. No.	Description		To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & addres	ss of the candidate	Diptirekha Nayak		
2.	Political Party a	offiliation, if any	ВЈР		
3.	No. and na Parliamentary		101-Paradip Assembly Constituency		
4.	Name of the ele	ected candidate	Damodar Rout, BJD		
5.	Date of declara	tion of result	16.05.2014		
6.	Last date pr	rescribed for lodging	15.06.2014		
7.	Date of lodging of account by the candidate		10.06.2014		
8. (a)	Whether account lodged by the candidate is in the prescribed format (Yes/No)		Yes		
(b)	Defects noticed in the format by the DEO	Tick rows	Brief note on details of the defects		
(i)	Abstract statement (Part I to IV and schedules 1 to 9) not filled up/not duly signed	_	Filed		

1 No.	De	scription	To be filled up by the DEO		
(ii)	Duly sworn i candidate not file	n Affidavits of the		Filed	
(iii)		to day accounts along ster and cash register by the candidate	-	Submitted & signed	
(iv)	Vouchers in respenditure no	ect of items of election	~	Submitted	
(v)	Self Certified co	py of the Statement of r election expenses not	4	Not submitted	
(vi)	deposited in th	election expenses not e aforesaid bank a/c s except petty expenses que	-	Receipt through Bank and payments also through Cash.	
9.	Grant Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in Part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate		Rs.9,82,820/-		
10(a)	Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No)		Yes		
(b)	If No, then, please fill up the details where expenditure has been understated / not mentioned at all by the candidate		NA		

. .

	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention	Acnort	
	expenditure		Shadow Observation Register	amount as per the Shadow Observation Register / folder or evidence	As per the account submitted by the candidate	Amour understand by the Candida
-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
77	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	- NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
11(a)		Total				11/1
(b)	Register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO (Yes or No) 3 times during company			Yes		
(c)	of register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?			NA		
(d)	discrepancy in expenditure given to the candidate by the R.O. ? Please mention date and nature of discrepancy.			No		
12.	Did the candidate anotice? (Please Anotice and explanate Whether the DEC	ion receive	y of the		NA	
13.	candidate (Yes or No	nt gives	ed by the		Yes	
	Political Party as me of the Abstract mention name of the	or Che entioned in Statemen	eque by n Part-III t. Also		40,000/- 7 BJP	
	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by any other person / entity. Also mention name of the party. Was there any incident of distribution of money food or all			Rs.65,000/-(List enclosed)		
o c a; oi Pi	onstituency by the gents or his party fur ther person related these mention date erson.	tner items candidate actionaries	or his	N	0	

f

1		
	SEAL:	Signature Signature
	Date:19.06.2014	(Name of the DEO)
1. 2.	à-vis the Shadow Observation	parate annexure indicating items wise break up of
\$	No cor	nment
Date:	19.6.2014	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
Forwar	rded to the Election Commission	
Date:		,
		SI
		Signature of the Chief Electoral Officer

· f